September 28, 2017

Ramsey County Historical Society
323 Landmark Center
75 West 5th Street
Saint Paul, MN  55102

Dear Mr. Roberts,

Enclosed is the Lowertown Interpretive Plan (LIP) that we have been asked to prepare. During the course of our discussions, meetings, tours and reviews we have discovered a broad and sincere interest in Lowertown, its history and its future.

This planning document focuses on two elements; one, the collection and consolidation of all data and information into a single location for the first time; and two, conceptual ideas for how this information can be easily accessed by anyone in an interesting yet economical manner.

Our discussions clearly left the impression that there are many varying stories that need to be collected and vantage points of interest. Residents, artists, building owners, the Capitol River Council and City officials have had initial opportunities for contributions. There are many details to resolve, but this plan will serve as a spring board to orchestrate concentrated efforts to collect and share Lowertown’s history.

We look forward to the possibilities.

Thank you so much for the opportunity to work with you and your RCHS staff.

Sincerely,

Craig Rafferty, FAIA
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Lowertown Interpretive Plan Background

The Lowertown Interpretive Plan was conceived as an opportunity to bridge the gap between residents and organizations interested in the history of Lowertown. This includes several decades of master plans and studies that stopped short of identifying actionable projects. The Plan is not meant to be prescriptive or proprietary, but rather an open-source, living collection of community identified priorities and proposed approaches that can be implemented and expanded upon for the next decade. The plan document and extensive collection of background materials will be maintained by the Ramsey County Historical Society (RCHS) in the Mary Livingston Griggs & Mary Griggs Burke Research Center and via its website, www.rchs.com. It is expected that the plan will be updated regularly as new projects are pursued and new data is generated by individuals and organizations working in Lowertown.

The Ramsey County Historical Society extends its thanks to all the project participants and to the Institute for Museum & Library Services for financially supporting this study.

RCHS worked in collaboration with a Technical Advisory Group including, but not limited to representatives of Union Depot, Capital River Council, Ramsey County, Ramsey County Historical Society, City of Saint Paul, and the Saint Paul Historic Preservation Commission. The project included gathering over 250 source documents (available at www.rchs.com) several meetings of a Technical Advisory Group, citizen-led walking tours, several focus groups, and prototyping several interpretive options.

Responding to the Greater Lowertown Master Plan developed in 2010, and paying tribute to the decades-long revitalization work by community members, the plan will lay the foundation for future historic interpretation projects. The plan will be published and widely distributed, and will also be available online. During the next ten years, this interpretive plan will guide the implementation of interpretation projects in Lowertown, Saint Paul.

Lowertown Interpretive Plan Goals

How can historical information and data be organized and easily accessed?

The intent behind The Lowertown Interpretive Plan (LIP) is to address community needs expressed to the Ramsey County Historical Society, specifically that more history be made available to the public in this rapidly evolving neighborhood.

Early assessments by RCHS identified several key challenges – there were a number of disconnected projects, some active, some dormant, all pursuing related goals, but with varying degrees of success. Also, there have been a large number of studies, master plans, and policy documents developed over the past four decades and these were widely scattered – with no single repository it was
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difficult for individuals and organizations to understand work that had been previously completed or proposed. A central repository of past and present work, permanently maintained by RCHS, was a key outcome. Another challenge was in the nature of the previous plans. Broad themes were identified, but these did not create actionable items outside of large, very well-funded projects. With this in mind, it was a key goal to identify interpretive approaches that not only create an enhanced history experience in Lowertown, but do so in a way that can be created by individuals or small organizations.

Further there is the understanding that important planning efforts have carved the way to present day Lowertown. Time makes these planning documents increasingly difficult to find. Their record is important as they highlight where we have been in order to help to guide our direction forward.

History is a living, constantly moving event. There is an increasing demand for historical information. The Lowertown Interpretation Plan (LIP) is primarily aimed at creating a home base for the rapidly expanding amount of information regarding Lowertown. This home base will be Ramsey County Historical Society (RCHS) who will organize and manage the information. The LIP plan proposed here is focused on two key goals:

1. The collection and organization of materials and information pertaining to Lowertown past, present, and its future. This includes public policies, personal interviews, corporate stories, written histories, planning and design documents, and historical records. The creation of a repository for this information is an essential part of this challenge. The simplicity and ease of access to this information as well as a place for materials to be added are required goals for this repository. Ramsey County Historical Society is the repository for this information in its Mary Livingston Griggs & Mary Griggs Burke Research Center and via its website, www.rchs.com.

2. Identify community identified and achievable options for presenting more history in Lowertown. Such options must be reasonable to maintain, fit within the guidelines that define Lowertown, meet the requirements of the Heritage Preservation Commission, and are economical to implement. Options for sharing information have many possible approaches, technology will become the primary method within the coming years.

The audience for this information and its access will start humbly with those interested and living or working within Lowertown and the visitors to the area. However, in many ways the motivating spirit behind the concept is the potential to spread throughout the City of St. Paul, to create an example that can be built on and refined.
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Process Sequence

The process established in order to gather as much information as possible was set up with two parallel tracks:

1. Research all sources for historical material related to plans, master plans, studies, and other published or individually produced documents on file in reliable research locations.

2. Speak directly with the people in the streets, hold focus group meetings, and seek the guidance of a technical advisory group.

In the Fall of 2016, RCHS developed its first prototype for the project, “Portals” was debuted to hundreds of visitors as part of the River Balcony Prototyping Festival. The working group of designers and artists convened by the Riverfront Corporation all provided input as did public visitors. The second major activity period for the project occurred over a two month period in late summer 2017. During this period the technical advisory group met three times and six focus groups were convened. These groups identified ideas for the LIP, commented on additional prototypes, raised concerns that will need to be addressed upon any plan implementation, and identified additional stakeholders to engage in future projects. In addition, the lead consultant identified and gathered over 250 documents from previous plans, studies, archaeological investigations, and more related to Lowertown.
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Lowertown Interpretive Process Participants

The collection and organization of materials and information pertaining to Lowertown past, present, and its future. This includes public policies, personal interviews, corporate stories, written histories, planning and design documents, and historical records.

The creation of a repository for this information is an essential part of this challenge. The simplicity and ease of access to this information as well as a place for materials to be added are required goals for this repository. Ramsey County Historical Society will become the caretaker.

Collected data related to Lowertown shall be accessible in two locations:

1. Mary Livingston Griggs & Mary Griggs Burke Research Center
   Basement Level, Landmark Center
   75 West 5th Street
   Saint Paul, MN 55102

2. www.rchs.com/lowertown

Ramsey County Historical Society Role
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Recommended Technical Considerations

The LIP study has indicated the use of smart phones and other such technology as a means of disseminating information. The following are suggestions that have been made to expand on this singular approach and to assure success:

- Simplicity is foremost; make sure that technology is not unnecessarily difficult.
- Avoid solutions that are too prohibitively expensive to be implemented.
- Make sure that recommendations can begin to be implemented immediately with minimal difficulty.
- Beware of technology as it is changing very rapidly.
- Suggestions of access thru QR code technology should not be the only means, allow for direct access as well thru URL addresses.
- Consider Bluetooth technology that connects when the user and smart phone are in the immediate vicinity.
- Make sure the information and supporting materials that are collected are stored properly.
- Clearly define who will be responsible for the storage and the continual updating.
- Recognize that this is a historic technology transition period and that multiple forms for information are necessary at this time and therefore collateral materials should include both print and digital forms.
- Should be replicated in other neighborhoods.
- Must be compliant with City code as well as HPC and SHPO standards/guidelines.
- When possible, should take advantage of existing infrastructure and assist in general wayfinding.
- Avoid sidewalk markings (e.g. Boston’s Revolutionary Trail) as weather conditions will obscure these for a substantial part of the year.
- Ensuring all technologies and materials are ADA compliant.
- Determine how alternative languages will be accomplished.
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Suggested Important Connections

Through the LIP series of Focus Group and Technical Advisory Group discussions there were multiple suggestions of important as well as courteous connections with individuals and groups that should be made. The following is a list of such connections. Some of which were actualized during the study and others to be explored in the future. Please note that as connectivity with other neighborhoods is an important consideration, some of these lay outside the boundary of Lowertown.

- Saint Paul Department of Planning and Economic Development
- Saint Paul Public Works
- Saint Paul Parks and Recreation
- Public Arts St Paul
- Saint Paul Arts Collective
- Lowertown Arts
- Visit St Paul
- Lowertown Entertainment District
- Saint Paul Heritage Preservation Commission (HPC)
- Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation (LRC) Archives
- Weiming Lu, LRC Planner
- Minnesota Museum of American Art
- Alley Up Project
- Downtown Vitality Vision
- Collaborative Working Environment (COWE)
- Extend the study to adjacent areas
- State Capitol
- Bruce Vento Nature Center
- Wakan Tipi Center
- Larry Millet author
- City Council
- Open St Paul
- Lower Phalen Creek Project (LPCP)
- Native American Studies at Metro State University
- Lower Landing Web site
- Metro Transit Corporation
- Lowertown Future Trust
- Christine Podas Larson
- Bob Close, Landscape Architect
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Information Delivery Options

The needs for simplicity and economy have been a motivating force behind the discussions for disseminating information. The following are suggestions for ways to provide historical, human interest, geographical, and other vantage points of information. The top three options are described in more detail.

1. Walking Tours with various themes
   Self-guided tour collateral material for these tours should be created and accessible digitally, with print options available via Union Depot, City of St. Paul Visitor Information Desk (Landmark Center), Visit St. Paul, and Ramsey County Historical Society. These maps may be specific to a tour or combine multiple tour options, to be determined by the entity that develops them. Tour themes identified as priorities include: AIA Minnesota updated Architectural tours; City Churches; Public Art; MN State Historical Walking tours. Guided tours may be arranged through Ramsey County Historical Society and Minnesota Historical Society. It is proposed that Union Depot be the “home base”/origination point for these tours as it is a transit and parking hub serving thousands of Lowertown visitors, has adequate public restroom facilities, large indoor spaces in the event of inclement weather, and is connected to the Skyway system.

2. QR Codes/URLS added to existing signage
   The prototyped QR code and URL options should be added to existing historical markers and signs as content is made available. While no hierarchy was determined with regards to priority order of existing signs, those in or adjacent to high use areas (Union Depot, Farmers Market, Mears Park, and CHS Field) are recommended. Initiator of project will need to secure formal approval from City of St. Paul Historic Preservation Commission, (prototyped options received informal approval but this is not sufficient to proceed to implementation).

3. Additional signage with links to digital content
   Several appropriate sign options have been identified. The initiator of the first such addition should work with the City of St. Paul HPC to identify what ancillary information should be included for wayfinding, including possible identification of nearby public restrooms. These signs should include QR codes and URLs to digital content. Priority for new signs should be for Custom House and Union Depot. The initiator of this project will need to work with the HPC for design approval and should be cognizant of setting a high standard for other signage.

- River travel similar to Chicago Architectural River Tour.
- Garden Tours.
- River landing focus.
- Visitor center focused information.
- Public Information and rest rooms locations.
- City wide introduction as drop down menu choice.
- Alley Tours.
- Holographic projections in the depot waiting area simulating 1930’s activity.
- There are many films related to Lowertown that should be available.
- Establish a more effective Lowertown Wikipedia page.
- Street walks with Artists.
- Lowertown Art Crawl.
- Establish a menu of choices that are available at each location in pop up style.
- Brewery Tour.
- Information available at Saints game.
- Updated Historic Plaques.
- Proposed River Balcony along bluff.
- Activate Galtier Plaza Movie House for regional story showings.
- Sign Options to Consider
  - Wrigley Field Chicago Information Kiosk
  - Heritage Trail markers in Minneapolis
  - Information Map near W. A. Frost restaurant
  - Minnesota State Capitol Grounds information App system.
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Areas of Interest

During the course of this study it became very clear that there is universal consensus that the heart of Lowertown is Mears Park and the Farmers Market/ CHS Field. There is also a strong sense that the Union Depot is fast becoming a third equal part of Lowertown’s focal point. The fourth zone is important for some and a forgotten zone for others, the Lower Landing at the river. The following points were made regarding areas of interest, importance, and potential:

1. Mears Park
2. Lowertown Alleys
3. Bike trails
4. River front and future activities
5. Gateway from the River
6. Union Depot interior
7. Bruce Vento Nature Center
8. Minnesota Museum of American Art
9. Black Dog Café is a key meeting place.
10. Wacouta Commons
11. First Baptist Church
12. Views of the River
13. Union Depot Plaza
14. Extended river front area
15. Proposed Riverwalk along bluff
16. Depot Park area at track upper level
17. Saints Ball Park and surrounding area
18. Farmers Market
   • All open spaces in the Lowertown area should be catalogued.
   • Gardens
   • Public Information access points
   • Locations for Guest (visitor) services
   • A safe walking path around the area.
   • Create a similar art in the alleys initiative as done in
   • Public Art Park is needed.
   • Creation of a City Visitor Center
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Stories

One of the most important aspects of the LIP study is identifying stories that should be told, recorded, found, and shared. This list is simply a starter identifying the thoughts that members of the TAG and Focus Groups suggested during the study reviews and discussions:

1. **1930s Union Depot in its Prime**
   This icon is more than a transit hub, its walls have witnessed the passage of millions of human lives over its existence. During its busiest years it was used by over 1,000 people every day and was a part of the St. Paul experience for virtually all out of town visitors. Exploring the remarkable stories of the Red Caps, veterans and soldiers, the notable and less notable citizens of the Saintly City, and the countless business interests that shaped not only this town but many communities in the great Northwest Territory of the United States.

2. **Custom House**
   At one time serving patrons from Saint Paul to Seattle, the former US Post Office and Custom House, after years of vacancy, has recently undergone a transformation. Once again a vital part of Saint Paul, Custom House is a classic case of adaptive reuse done right. Ripe for interpretation, the history and recent restoration of this landmark is well documented in an award-winning book written by the building’s owner.

3. **Lowertown Art Crawl – Pioneering Art Activation in a Historic Neighborhood**
   One of the signature events of Lowertown is the Art Crawl, and it was one of the first of its kind in the nation. For decades it has been intrepid artists keeping Lowertown moving forward — their success has been one of the pillars on which other successful investments have been built. Telling the story of the Art Crawl and its impact as first a lifeline for a failing neighborhood to the catalyst for being named the “Hippest Neighborhood in America”, now is the time to capture this story before gentrification decimates the long-time artist residents’ population that made the Art Crawl a success.

4. **Cyber Village**
   One of many ideas ahead of its time and planned for Lowertown, the story of the proposed “Cyber Village” is one in a series of stories that tell of complicated plans, false starts, and the eventual success of business investments in Lowertown. This story should be part of a series that explores the mercantile and industrial history of the neighborhood — from its earliest use as home to warehouses and transportation oriented businesses through its peaks and valleys as industries changed and their evolution to today’s mix of small businesses, entertainment venues, restaurants, and housing. This is a piece of a bigger story punctuated by colorful failures and dynamic successes.

5. **Humans of Lowertown – the personal stories of Lowertown residents and artists.**
   History is the story of people — and the people that live in Lowertown are worth preserving and sharing. As gentrification threatens to push out the artists that made this a desirable place to live, how is the community adapting? The story of the artists and residents — past and present — is as fascinating as it is relevant as the City grapples with rapid change in the neighborhood.

- Beneath the Streets, historical as well as city services.
- History of River Landing.
- Barge Traffic History.
- Original Depot Historic Renovation in 1983.
- Capture stories and the voices of the past.
- James J. Hill warehouse history.
- Baptist Hill story.
- Farmers Market evolution and location in Lowertown.
- Jax Building success.
- Guinness Book of Records world’s largest Lite Brite artwork.
- Master Framers Fire (260 4th Street).
- Lowertown Alleys.
- Community gardens.
- Music in the park story.
- Winter trail at the Depot.
- Historic brick wall ads.
- Train rides from Duluth and Rochester.
- Design history of current Mears Park.
- Lower landing Story.
- Glacial Melting Story.
- History of Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation.
- Rayette Corporation story including Aqua Net Hair Spray.
- Story of President Reagan bestowing the Presidential Design Award to Lowertown planning and design teams.
- Focused interview with Weiming Lu.
- Assembly of all information in convenient location.
- Excerpts from Weiming Lu’s Tao of Urban Rejuvenation.
- Lowertown as a residential artist community.
- McKnight Foundation funding.
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Concerns

During the course of the reviews, discussions, and the walking tours there were several comments made regarding areas of concern that individuals felt should be addressed by City Planning, the building owners, the Public Works, City Parks and Recreation, and others. These generally pointed out difficulties, safety issues, or needed improvements for those living and working in Lowertown:

- Public Restrooms are impossible to find for visitors
- Can information related to events, restaurants, services skyway hours etc be more easily accessed for visitors and residents out waking.
- Needs safer walking access to areas like Bruce Vento Nature Center
- The Depot should have more activity to be successful
- The Depot has many activities scheduled but they are often after hours and the residents are not aware of these activities.
- The Farmers Market needs a significant upgrade in signage to identify it and the hours.
- Can more Farmers Market days be extended into the winter?
- Will the City Visitor Center locate in the Union Depot?
- The second train from Chicago and its implications
- Can there be Music in the Park extended into main spaces like the Depot during the winter?
- How can Lowertown artists be incorporated into Lowertown planning?
- Skyways are difficult to get to from the street.
- Skyway hours are difficult at times for residents.
- Access out of the Skyway is difficult at times.
- Galtier Plaza is under utilized.
- Galtier Plaza needs a welcoming entry visible from Mears Park.
- Fourth Street across from the depot is safer to walk because the Green Line trains have discouraged cars.
- Parking is needed and is slowly being depleted.

To the extent that these concerns can be addressed or mitigated with new history projects, every effort should be made to do so. (e.g. including direction and distance to nearest public restroom on informational signs)

Street Tours

In conjunction with the Saint Paul District 17, Capital River Council (CRC) recommendations, street tours were organized in order to understand the key Lowertown locations, those perceived to be the heart of Lowertown. Two tours were orchestrated in order to gain differing vantage points. Each tour lasted for two hours and were primarily dictated by the participants. The sequence started near the Farmers Market and thus the priorities are not in hierarchical order as seen below.

**MORNING TOUR**
- Farmers Market
- Union Depot
- Mears Park
- Wacouta Commons

**EVENING TOUR**
- Farmers Market
- Lowertown Alleys
- Riverfront
- Union Depot
- Mears Park

The map illustrated on the following page demonstrates the routes covered by the two tours. During these discussions the comments collected were incorporated into the preceding pages under headings that reflect the nature of the comment. The routes of these tours, determined through group consensus, offer important insight into potential preset Lowertown tours.
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Street Tours

[Map of Lowertown showing Street Tours]
How can information be made easily available?

Options for creating interest and easy access to information are essential for the Lowertown Interpretive Plan. Suggestions ranged from using tried and true printed materials (maps, guides, signs) to cutting edge technology (immersive virtual reality experiences). Connections with other ongoing activities such as the Jazz Festival and Music in Mears were also suggested, and logically so - the many ongoing activities in Lowertown all have audiences that can be reached via their organizers.

Expanding the use of technology is a top priority - ease of access for most individuals coupled with the capacity to provide access to a great depth of information if the user desires, as well as accessibility from remote locations all make this an obvious choice. A web-based platform hosted by RCHS is a logical way to archive digital content developed for Lowertown and can include all manner of content from text to video to virtual reality experiences should they be developed. Access to this content can be managed in a wide variety of ways. Current thinking is that QR codes will be integrated in physical signage, providing access to the central content database. This approach can be implemented easily in existing or new locations. This premise has been received favorably, is economical, and is generally understandable for users.

Caution was raised that not everyone uses a smartphone, a necessary component to any scannable link technology. For that reason, it would be ideal that some content be presented via building plaques. There was general agreement that the design of the existing historical building plaques is appropriately iconic, having an understood identity. However, while they identify a building as historic, they are static, contain minimal information, and do not direct the viewer to where more information can be found. New interpretive signage created in the future should include more data and clearly identify where to seek out additional information.

More access to historical material is needed to satisfy a rapidly increasing level of interest. The information gathered and described in section four, Research, provides overwhelming evidence of the amount of material available. It is also evident through this planning effort that self-guided tours (digital or printed maps) have real potential for delivering information while minimizing overhead expenses that are incurred through guided tours. Supporting either options requires content and a method of effective delivery.

The following pages outline four concepts that sparked interest, technology potential, economic opportunity, and possible implementation methods.

1. **Concept One - Portals**
   A glimpse into the past and present.

2. **Concept Two - QR Code Historic Plaques**
   Web-enhanced signage provides access to additional information.

3. **Concept Three - Street Information Kiosks**
   Obvious access points to information, including architecture, human interest stories, events, amenities, and more.

4. **Concept Four - Historic Light Trail**
   A walking trail featuring historic locales and information kiosks, identified and defined by unique lighting.
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Concept One - Portals

Remember as a child choosing your favorite reel to take you to new places through your View-master® or inserting a quarter into a tower viewer to see the magnified animals at the zoo or cityscapes from the tops of iconic buildings. Let’s take people back in time in much the same way as they visit Lowertown.

By placing Portals from the vantage point of the historic photographs, the experience is unique not only to Saint Paul but to the exact spot where a person is standing. This uniquely site-specific experience can incorporate visual and audio elements to explore history of an area in the level of detail desired by the viewer (the potential scope of material accessible is limited only by available content, not the physical limitations of the viewer/Portal). Because these Portals use digital imaging, it is possible to also present conceptual drawings of future development near the Portals, including plans for the proposed River Balcony project that runs the length of Lowertown on the Mississippi River.

Four different options for implementation have been identified, and number 2 was prototyped as part of the River Balcony Prototyping Festival in late 2016.

1. A Peek into the Past Portal
   A viewer that works like a coin operated tower viewer – easy and intuitive to understand by the public, the infrastructure for acquiring and maintaining these are readily available.

2. Surprise Portal
   At least two feet wide by three feet tall, these large metal frames will house a digital screen, camera, media player, and motion sensor. The strategically placed frames will highlight specific views, and will rotate so the user can have multiple experiences in one station. The camera will show a digital image of the view at the moment, disguising the true nature of the Portal at a distance. As a potential user nears the Portal, a historical image will replace the current day image, and a simple user interface will allow the user to change views to different times and by spinning the frame, different views will become visible. Making this Portal web/wifi-enabled allows even greater flexibility regarding the presentation of content.

3. City View Portal
   Visitors to Lowertown will be adjacent or inside buildings during their visit. A motion-activated projection system will detect the presence of visitors and project on walls or glass scrims (if no suitable wall is available) very large historic images looking into Saint Paul from the vantage point of the users. These oversize/life-size projections will provide dramatically different perspectives of the city for users and will run the gamut from the mid-19th century to the early 21st century.

4. Personal Portals
   All the content for the above portals, as well as additional content that covers as much of Saint Paul as desired, will be made available via Timera, Pivot, or successor apps that allow individual smartphones to provide a similar, though less immersive, experience. Users would not be limited to Lowertown. By using apps that are widely available and free to the user, the audience of users will be significantly enhanced.
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Concept One - Portals Demonstration

1. **Peek into the Past Portal**
   Basic concept, no unique “skin”, we have all seen these.

2. **Surprise Portal**
   Simple looking frame that appears to hold nothing at a distance, automatically populates with historic view when approached. May be rotated by user to see entire image and for different views. Frame would be all-weather enclosure and would require electricity (could be solar powered)

3. **City View Portal**
   A motion-activated projection system will detect the presence of visitors and project on walls or glass scrims (if no suitable wall is available) very large historic images looking into Saint Paul from the vantage point of the users.

4. **Personal Portals**
   See examples at http://www.timera.com/Explore (none from Saint Paul yet) and http://www.pivottheworld.com/ (none from Saint Paul yet)
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Concept Two - Digital Content Access via Historic Plaques

Existing historic plaques are spread throughout the city, with a particularly high concentration in Lowertown. The plaque is cast Bronze with a distinctive shape that has become an iconic element quickly identifying the building as an important part of our heritage. The information included in these plaques is minimal, typically limited to address, name, year, architect, and identifying that it exists in the Lowertown Historic District.

The Digital Content Access via Historic Plaques concept is demonstrated on the right. A QR code will be added to each plaque and all content related to that location would be readily available via a smartphone. From the portal that is accessed at one plaque the visitor would have the option of finding data on all locations for which there is content and could opt to follow an established walking tour or build their own. The physical manifestation of this is the addition of bronze QR codes to existing or new plaques. The much larger and hidden part of this is the content database. This will need to be built out over time as content is developed and may draw from other online entities like Lyfmap.

When scanned by a smart phone, the QR code will direct the user to a site from which they could choose to explore all kinds of information, including but not limited to:

1. Allow you to choose to view more architectural information.
2. Human interest information about the owners and/or the people that lived or work there.
3. Access to audio or video information.
4. Local activities could be coordinated on a separate menu.
5. Public Services such as rest rooms could have a convenience map.

Not all historically relevant elements are architectural. There are many human interest, geographic, and political events that shape our history as well. The many stories mentioned on page 14 attest to this simple truth. These plaques can certainly commemorate such moments, allowing materials related to those stories that are related to have access. They need not be placed on a building.

QR codes are fairly simple to use and are expected to be durable and relevant for the foreseeable future. For those reasons, using QR codes to access digital content is a reasonable solution. As an access point, these can be made static and content that links to each QR code can continue to evolve and improve. It is relatively simple and inexpensive to update data in a database rather than re-fabricating permanent signage as new information becomes available.

This QR Code will take us to wood staining products. It will be replaced in this report with an example for Lowertown, once the prototype is established.
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Concept Two - QR Code Historic Plaques Demonstration

Existing

Proposed
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Concept Three - Street Information Kiosks

The need for general information and stories about people and places other than architectural is accommodated within this existing information kiosk standard. It is part of the recent Union Depot renovation above the parking area and on the train track level. We are proposing to use a similar design in order to replicate things that have been approved and to minimize the variety of things that are scattered on the streets and sidewalks. The signage as suggested for the LIP study is simulated on the right facing page to suggest what could happen on an information kiosk.

1. Information at the upper area would identify the historic subject

2. Information contained in a cast QR Code would be placed at the appropriate ADA height

3. Some will not use a QR Code Reader on their smart phone so a URL address is provided.

4. A Braille address would be provided as well.

5. Public information regarding rest rooms, parking areas, etc., would be provided here.

Variations in material other than steel can be studied. The acceptability of this design within the Lowertown Historic District will need to be verified, as would any design that is intended to be placed within the district.
3. Concepts

Concept Three - Street Information Kiosks Demonstration

1. Historic Subject

2. QR Code Image

3. Direct URL Connection
4. Direct URL Braille Connection
5. Public Info Access

Note that this signage was approved as part of the historic renovation of Union Depot.
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Concept Four - Historic Light Trail

The potential of tours, the need to add information to existing historical markers, and the need to incorporate other markers and informational signage brought out suggestions during the Focus Groups reviews. These are examples of successful but limited existing signs from Lowertown and other areas. By limited is meant that they communicate only information on their surface. The opportunity to offer other available information, to delve deeper, is not available.

Booklets and other hand-out materials will still be needed for the foreseeable future but use of a fully integrated web-based system is hard to resist and it has the potential to create a truly flexible, accessible, and user friendly system for sharing the wealth of historical information gathered.

Concept for a light tour

The site plan diagram, on the right facing page, overlays existing street lighting systems onto the Lowertown Historic District plan. Potential historic information kiosks are randomly assigned with the green box symbol. The diagram suggests possible tour informational locations. These are not calculated for exactness in the diagram, rather they simply convey the possibilities for information kiosks that could form tours or be used independently. Branding the tour with a name, suggested here as “Illuminating the Past”, or a similar identity would be recommended. Boston’s Freedom Trail has such an identity.
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Concept Four - Historic Light Trail/Illuminating the Past
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Concept Four - Historic Light Trail/Illuminating the Past

Lowertown’s light fixtures are part of the historic district but are not original. Preliminary conversations with City Public Works Department lighting engineers suggest adaptations are possible. With modest adjustments a historic light trail for tours could be established. By changing a lamp or possibly a globe, as suggested in the comparative simulation on the right, the approach to achieve informational light kiosks could be achieved.

Options will need consideration for ways to attract attention and create a visual path for tours or at least a series of Information Kiosk access points. The suggestions for changing the fixture, in the modest way demonstrated, maybe an economical way to implement the concept. A prototype will be studied to determine if this approach or another approach will be effective.

One of the most successful historic self guided historic tours is Boston’s Freedom Trail. An effort in Lowertown could be very similar in character. Guidebooks, signage, and digital systems would highlight the trail.
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Concept Four - Historic Light Trail Street Light Comparison
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Resources
Prepared by PVN

Greater Lowertown Master Plan Summary
Author: Greater Lowertown Master Plan Task Force; Task Force Advisors; and Consultant Team

Greater Lowertown Master Plan (Full)
Creativecommunitybuilders.com 2011 Author: Greater Lowertown Master Plan Task Force; Task Force Advisors; and Consultant Team

St. Paul Downtown Development Strategy (Chapter of the St. Paul Comprehensive Plan)
Author: Downtown Development Strategy Task Force; City of St. Paul; Capitol River Council; and St. Paul Planning Commission

Downtown St. Paul Station Area Plan

St. Paul on the Mississippi Development Framework

Prosper: Vision SP 20 I 20

Saint Paul River Balcony Master Plan (amendment to Great River Passage Master Plan)

Mississippi River Corridor Plan (chapter of the St. Paul Comprehensive Plan)
Author: City of St. Paul Department of Planning and Economic Development

Central Corridor Development Strategy (chapter of the St. Paul Comprehensive Plan)

Map of Lowertown Heritage Preservation District

Brief histories of specific buildings and places in Lowertown

Shepard-Warner-East CBD Bypass, St. Paul: Draft Environmental Impact Statement (Historic Resources Survey, Appendix D)
https://books.google.com/books?id=h7w1AQAAMAAJ&q=shepard/warner/east+CBD+bypass+st+paul+environmental+impact+statement&source=gbs_navlinks_s 1988

Report of the Diamond Products Task Force
Author: Diamond Products Task Force

Lowertown: The Rise of an Urban Village (video)
http://www.mnvideovault.org/index.php?id=21945&select_index=0&popup=yes 2011 TPT

Lowertown Walking Tours: Lowertown Walking Tour (audio and text)
https://soundcloud.com/lowertown/sets 2013

Art Crawl Building Guide (audio and text)
https://soundcloud.com/lowertown/sets 2014

The Buildings of St. Paul: The Mears Park Area
LowertownLanding.com 1992 Author: Andrew G. Earhart

St. Paul’s Historic Lowertown: A Walking Tour
LowertownLanding.com 1988 Author: St. Paul Heritage Preservation Commission and the City of St. Paul

Tour St. Paul: East Side to Lowertown
LowertownLanding.com

Uniquely St. Paul: A Self-Guided Walking Tour through a 21st River City
LowertownLanding.com 2008 Author: Rotary Club of St. Paul and the City of St. Paul

African American Heritage: Points of Entry (walking tour)
http://saintpaulhistorical.com/tours/show/41
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Resources (cont.)
Prepared by PVN

Historic Lowertown (walking tour)

Dayton’s Bluff: Below the Bluff (walking tour)
http://saintpaulhistorical.com/tours/show/1

Histories of 22 Lowertown buildings

East Side Park and Trail Map
https://static1.squarespace.com/static/56db649a04426203948e013/t/57069c867da24f76a6ea9d75/1460051080892/EastSideTrail-Guide-2.jpg-1-1+%281%29.pdf   Author: Lower Phalen Creek Project and Hedberg Maps, Inc

River of History: A Historic Resources Study of the Mississippi National River and Recreation Area

Historic Sites Survey of St. Paul and Ramsey County

Great River Passage Master Plan (Addendum to St. Paul Comprehensive Plan)

Environmental Assessment Worksheet for Trunk Highway 52/Lafayett Bridge Replacement and Roadway Modification Project from Plato Boulevard to East 8th Street

Mississippi National River and Recreation Area, Minnesota: Final Comprehensive Management Plan Environmental Impact Statement, Vol. 1
https://books.google.com/books?id=Yct1wo6GATs&printsec=frontcover&source=gbs_ge_summary_r&cad=0#v=onepage&q&f=false  1994   Author: Mississippi River Coordinating Commission and National Park Service

Metro Greenprint: Planning for Nature in the Face of Urban Growth
http://files.dnr.state.mn.us/assistance/nrplanning/community/greenways/greenprint.pdf  1997   Author: Greenways and Natural Areas Collaborative

Lowertown Pedestrian and Alley Study
Courtesy of Craig Rafferty  1984   Rafferty, Rafferty, Mikutowski, Roney, and Associates, Inc.

Arts, Culture, and the Creative Economy
Creativecommunitybuilders.com  2011   Creative Community Builders

National Register Nomination for Lowertown Historic District

The Tao of Urban Rejuvenation: building a livable creative urban village
Minnesota Historical Society Library 2013   Weiming Lu

Lowertown: A Report of the Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation, Saint Paul
Wilson Library- University of Minnesota  1985   Author: Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation

Partnership in Lowertown
Wilson Library- University of Minnesota  1981   Author: Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation

Transportation, Urban Design and the Environment: Highway 61/Red Rock Corridor (Report #13 in the series Transportation and Regional Growth Study)
http://hdl.handle.net/11299/865  (University of Minnesota’s Digital Conservancy)  2003   Author: Lance M. Neckar, Department of Landscape Architecture, University of Minnesota

Lowertown Heritage Preservation District Design Guidelines

A Special Report: Lowertown, St. Paul’s Exciting New Urban Village
Minnesota Historical Society Library 1991   Author: Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation

Lowertown: Welcome to Lowertown, St. Paul’s Exciting New Urban Village
Minnesota Historical Society Library  1994   Author: Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation
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Lowertown: Welcome to Lowertown, St. Paul’s Exciting New Urban Village: Special Report
Minnesota Historical Society Library 2001 Author: Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation

Lowertown River Garden
Minnesota Historical Society Library 1994 Author: City of St. Paul Department of Planning and Economic Development and Department of Public Works; Consultants Rafferty Rafferty Tollefson Architects, Inc. and Edwards and Kelcey, Inc.

Public/Private Partnership
Minnesota Historical Society Library 1994 Author: Weiming Lu

Lowertown: Welcome to Lowertown, Saint Paul’s Exciting New Urban Village: Special Report
Minnesota Historical Society Library 1993 Author: Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation

Mears Park: Evaluation and Enhancement Study
Minnesota Historical Society Library 1998 Project for Public Spaces, Inc.

Lowertown Redevelopment Opportunity: A Proposal Prepared for the McKnight Foundation
Minnesota Historical Society Library 1993 Author: City of St. Paul, Office of the Mayor

Lowertown National Register Historic District Boundary Increase Nomination Form
State Historic Preservation Office (File: Lowertown Historic District Boundary Increase- National Register file) 1989 Author: Rolf T. Andersen

Proposed Lowertown District Expansion
State Historic Preservation Office (File: Lowertown Historic District Boundary Increase- National Register file) c. 1989

The History and Significance of the James J. Hill Building
State Historic Preservation Office (File: RA-SPC-4501- RA-SPC-4550) 1987 Author: Harry Hunter

National Register Nomination Form for the James J. Hill Building
State Historic Preservation Office (File: RA-SPC-4501- RA-SPC-4550) 1987 Author: Harry Hunter

National Register Nomination Form for the McCall Building

Site Nomination Form for the McCall Building (St. Paul Heritage Preservation Commission)
State Historic Preservation Office (File: Merchants National Bank St. Paul- National Register file) 1978 Author: Carol Ekstrum and David Wieberg

Inventory Form for the Union Depot Elevated Rail Yards (part of the Union Depot Phase I/II Project)
State Historic Preservation Office (File: Union Depot Elevated Rail Yards- National Register eligible file) 2007 Author: Jeanne-Marie Mark (The 106 Group)

State Historic Preservation Office (File: Lowertown Historic District- National Register file) 1991 Author: Lowertown Redevelopment Corp.

Historic Property Record for Lafayette Bridge
State Historic Preservation Office (File: Bridge No. 9800- National Register eligible file) c. 2009 Author: Robert M. Frame, Christine Long, and Shannon Malzahn (Mead & Hunt)

Historic Property Record for Lafayette Bridge - Photographs
State Historic Preservation Office (File: Lafayette Bridge - Photographs) c. 2009

National Register Nomination Form for the Walsh Building
State Historic Preservation Office (File: Walsh Building- National Register eligible file) 1988 Author: Paul Clifford Larson

Significance Survey for the United States Postal Office
State Historic Preservation Office (File: United States Post Office and Custom House- National Register file) 1985 Author: Dr. Norene A. Roberts

National Register Registration Form for the United States Postal Office
State Historic Preservation Office (File: United States Post Office and Custom House- National Register file) 2013 Author: Emily Ramsey (MacRostie Historic Advisors LLC)

National Register Evaluation for the United States Postal Office

Kellogg Boulevard Streetscape Project
State Historic Preservation Office (File: Survey RA-99-3H) 1999 Author: Andrew J. Schmidt and Kristen M. Zschomler (The 106 Group)

Phase I and II Architectural History Survey for the Union Depot Multi-Modal Transit Hub Project
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Additional Resources
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Lowertown Bulletin (9 newsletters)
Minnesota Historical Society Library  Various dates  Author: Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation

Custom House: Restoring a St. Paul landmark in Lowertown
Minnesota Historical Society Library  2015  Author: James A. Stolpestad

Once There Were Castles: Lost Mansions and Estates of the Twin Cities
Minnesota Historical Society Library  2011  Author: Larry Millett

AIA Guide to Downtown St. Paul
Minnesota Historical Society Library  2010  Author: Larry Millett

“Lost neighborhood: Mary Hill’s Lowertown, 1867 - 1891”
Minnesota Historical Society Library  Spring 2006  Author: Eileen R. McCormack  Article in Ramsey County History magazine

“Lowertown: Another Perspective”
Minnesota Historical Society Library  Spring 2006  Author: David Riehle  Article in Ramsey County History magazine

“The Dahl House: The Last of Old Lowertown”
Minnesota Historical Society Library  Spring 1990  Ramsey County History Magazine  Article in Ramsey County History magazine

The Lowdown on Lowertown
Minnesota Historical Society Library  Author: Lorin Labardee

Lowertown Crier periodical
Minnesota Historical Society Library  1979-?  Author: Lowertown Commercial Club

Buildings for Urban Excellence: 1995 Rudy Bruner Award for Excellence in the Urban Environment
St. Paul Public Library  1996  Author: Jay Faberstein

Lowertown Yards: Public Space From Renewed Infrastructure in Saint Paul
U of M Digital Conservancy  2011  Author: Colleen O’Dell  Master’s Capstone Paper

Mixed Use Development, St. Paul
In storage at U of MN Library Access Center  1980  Author: Albert W Lindeke  Thesis

Energy Efficient Housing Development Downtown Saint Paul
In storage at U of MN Library Access Center  1984  Author: Tanja Toganidou-Vardoulaki  Thesis

Everyday Urbanism: A Socially Sustainable Urban Realm in Lowertown, St. Paul
In storage at U of MN Library Access Center  2008  Author: Amanda Kay Olson  Thesis

The River Garden: A Return to the River - St. Paul Lowertown, Minnesota
Wilson Library- U of MN  2001  Author: Ying Mao  Thesis

Lowertown St. Paul: Reconnecting to the River
Architecture/Landscape Architecture Library- U of MN

Historic photos of Lowertown
http://lowertownlanding.com/lowertown-history-historic-photos-images/Originally from the Minnesota Historical Society

Weiming Lu Papers 1953 - 2013
Northwest Architectural Archives, University of Minnesota Boxes 28 - 31 from subseries 3 (Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation)

Weiming Lu Papers, 1963 - 2013
Minnesota Historical Society Library  1963 - 2013

Downtown Community Development Council records, 1977 - 1990
Minnesota Historical Society Library  1977-1990

Downtown St. Paul, 1849 - 1975 (Historic Context Study)
HstoncSaintPaul.org  2001  Authors: Carole Zellie, Landscape Research and Garneth Peterson, BRW

Cities on the Mississippi (1994)
Architecture/Landscape Architecture Library- University of Minnesota  1994  Authors: John W. Reps and Alex S. MacLean
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Corporate Records of Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation - MN Historical Society Library
Prepared by PVN

Various editions of the Lowertown Bulletin
Box 9 Various dates Author: Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation

Various editions of the Lowertown Update newsletter
Box 9 Various dates Author: Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation

An Emerging Future for Lowertown
Box 9 1979 Author: Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation

Various pamphlets and brochures on Lowertown
Box 9 Various dates

Block 40 Mixed Use Development: Urban Development Action Grant, Application for Federal Assistance Vol. 1
Box 10 1980 Author: City of St. Paul

Redevelopment Objectives and Requirements: Block L
Box 10 1978 Author: Housing and Redevelopment Authority of the City of St. Paul

Economic Impact: Lowertown Redevelopment Program, 1978 - 1993
Box 12 1994 Author: McComb Group

Economic Impact of the Lowertown Redevelopment Program, 1979 - 1985
Box 12 1985 Author: James B. McComb Associates

Economic Impacts: Lowertown Redevelopment Program, 1979 - 1998
Box 12 1999 McComb Group

Characteristics of Lowertown, St. Paul
Box 12 c. 1977

Proposed East CBD Bypass
Box 12 1992 Author: City of St. Paul

Faculty Women Tour
Box 16 1984

Lowertown Small Area Plan
Box 17 1994 Author: Lowertown Small Area Plan Taskforce

Proposal: Market and Economic Feasibility Analysis
Box 17 Author: James B. McComb and Associates

Midway Corridor LRT Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Box 18 1990 Author: BRW, Inc.

Action: Public Art, Placemaking in Downtown Saint Paul
Box 24 1997 Author: Capitol River Council and Public Art Saint Paul

Riverview Corridor Study: Phase I
Box 24 1998 Author: Ramsey County Regional Railroad Authority

Riverview Corridor Major Investment Study: Saint Paul Minnesota (Draft Report)
Box 24 2000 Author: Ramsey County Regional Railroad Authority, U. S. Department of Transportation, and the Federal Transit Administration

Changing the Face of Our City: How the People of Saint Paul Are Reinventing Their Riverfront
Box 24 c. 1995 Author: Saint Paul Riverfront Corporation

Next Urban Village Plan: Lowertown, St. Paul (Draft)
Box 25 2002 Author: Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation

Box 27 Rev. 1986 Author: Saint Paul Heritage Preservation Commission

St. Paul Union Depot: Renewing a Civic Landmark in Downtown St. Paul
Box 30 Post-1997 Author: HGA

Downtown Urban Design Plan (Draft)
Box 35 1993

Box 35 1986 Author: Saint Paul Planning Commission
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Potential Resources
Prepared by PVN

Resources which may be helpful but could not be located (some may be located within the Corporate Records of the Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation)


Riverfront Action Strategies (SP Port Authority; 1999)


Phase I and II Cultural Resources Investigations of the Central Corridor Minneapolis, Hennepin County and St. Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota (1995)

A River Heritage (St. Paul Heritage Preservation Commission brochure)

Discover St. Paul: Merchantile Architecture (City of St. Paul, Community Services Department, St. Paul Convention and Visitor’s Bureau)

Rocky Roots: Geology and Stone Construction in Downtown St. Paul (Ramsey County Historical Society; 1978)
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Databases Researched
Prepared by PVN

City of St. Paul Website: Neighborhood and Citywide Plans
https://www.stpaul.gov/departments/planning-economic-development/planning/neighborhood-plans
Searched all district 17 plans and citywide plans

Historic St. Paul
www.historicsaintpaul.org
Searched entire “resource” section of website

St. Paul Historical
http://saintpaulhistorical.com/tours/browse/
Searched through “tours” and “stories” sections

Lowertown Landing Website
http://lowertownlanding.com/
Searched entire “history” section

University of Minnesota (U of MN) Libraries
Searched the following databases: MNCAT Discovery, CURA, University Digital Conservancy, Digital Repository for U of M, Archival Finding Aids

St. Paul Public Library
http://www.sppl.org/
Searched catalog: very few resources relating specifically to Lowertown

Ramsey County Libraries
https://www.rclreads.org/
Searched catalog: very few resources relating specifically to Lowertown

Hennepin County Libraries
http://www.hclib.org/
Searched catalog: very few resources relating specifically to Lowertown

State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)
http://www.mnhs.org/shpo/survey/files.php
Searched files of all inventoried properties within neighborhood of Lowertown, as well as their associated National Register files and surveys

Minnesota Historical Society Library (MNHS)
http://mnhs.mnpals.net/F?RN=432637486&func=file&file_name=basic
Searched catalog
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Lowertown Files
Prepared by PVN

Arts, Culture, and the Creative Economy_2011.pdf
Borders of Lowertown.docx
Downtown St. Paul Station Area Plan_Part 1_2010.pdf
Downtown St. Paul Station Area Plan_Part 3_2010.pdf
Downtown St. Paul Station Area Plan_Part 4_2010.pdf
East Side Park and Trail Map_xxxx.pdf
Environmental Assessment Worksheet for Trunk Highway 52_2009/pdf
Great River Passage Master Plan_2012.pdf
Historic Property Record for Lafayette Bridge Photographs_c.2009.pdf
Historic Property Record for Lafayette Bridge_c.2009.pdf
Historic Sites Survey of Saint Paul and Ramsey County_1983.pdf
Inventory Form for the Union Depot Elevated Rail Yards_2007.pdf
Kellogg Boulevard Streetscape Project_1999.pdf
Lowertown Heritage Preservation District Design Guidelines_xxxx.pdf
Lowertown National Register Historic District Boundary Increase_1989.pdf
Lowertown Pedestrian Study II.pdf
Lowertown Pedestrian and Alley Study_1984.pdf
Lowertown Resources.xlsx
Lowertown River Garden_1984.pdf
Lowertown_Welcome to Lowertown_1993.pdf
Lowertown_Welcome to Lowertown_2001.pdf
Lowertown Master Plan Full_2011.pdf
Lowertown Master Plan Summary_2012.pdf
Map of Lowertown Heritage Preservation District.pdf
Metro Greenprint_1997.pdf
Mississippi River Corridor Plan_2002.pdf
National Register Nomination Form for the James J. Hill Building_1987.pdf
National Register Nomination Form for the McColl Building_1974
National Register Nomination Form for the Walsh Building_1988.pdf
National Register Registration Form for the United States Postal Office_2003.pdf
Partnership in Lowertown_1981.pdf
Prosper Vision SP2020_2014.pdf
Site Nomination Form for the McColl Building_1978.pdf
St. Paul River Balcony Master Plan_2017.pdf
The History and Significance of the James J. Hill Building_1987.pdf
Tour of St. Paul_East Side to Lowertown_xxxx.pdf
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Historical Properties related to Central Corridor/Green Line Project
Prepared by Nienow Cultural Resources

Central Corridor Phase I Architectural History Investigation Report, Vol. 1 PDF 89 MB

Central Corridor Phase I Architectural History Investigation Report, Vol. 2 [part 1 of 3] PDF 81 MB

Central Corridor Phase I Architectural History Investigation Report, Vol. 2 [part 2 of 3] PDF 75 MB

Central Corridor Phase I Architectural History Investigation Report, Vol. 2 [part 3 of 3] PDF 59 MB

Central Corridor Phase II Architectural History Investigation Report PDF 11.3 MB

Central Corridor Supplemental Historic Property Investigations Report, Downtown Saint Paul PDF 37 MB

Central Corridor Capitol Mall Historic District Mitigation Plan, February 2010 PDF 5 MB

Central Corridor Union Depot Mitigation Plan PDF 2 MB

https://metrocouncil.org/Transportation/Projects/Light-Rail-Projects/Central-Corridor/Environmental/Historic-Properties.aspx
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Archaeological Reference Materials for Ramsey County
Prepared by Nienow Cultural Resources

Available at the Office of the State Archaeologist and/or the State Historic Preservation Office
(Note: this is done by year and not alphabetical author- to better represent the archaeological chronology of the County).

No Name?

Ketz, K. A.

Bennett, G. and A. Ketz

Ketz, K. A.
1994 Burlington Northern Regional Trail, East 7th St. to Lake Phalen, Cultural Resources Survey, St. Paul, Minnesota.

Hess, D. J., and J. A. Hess
1994 Documentary Analysis of Potential Historic Archaeological Sites in the Proposed Construction Zone of the New Wabasha St. Bridge, St. Paul, Minnesota.

The 106 Group
1995 MWWTP Environmental Inventory, Phase I- Implementation, Cultural Resources Investigations, St. Paul, Minnesota.

Higginbottom, D. K.
1997 Archaeological Monitoring and Emergency Salvage at the Department of Revenue Construction Site, St. Paul, Minnesota.

Ollendorf, A. L. and D. Higginbottom
1997 Data Recovery Plan for the Department of Revenue building, St. Paul, Minnesota.

Ollendorf, A. L.

Management Analysis Division

Ketz, K. A. and M. Kullen

A. J. Schmidt and K. A. Ketz
1997 Literature Search for the Chestnut Street-Shepard Road Intersection City of St. Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.

The 106 Group

Abel, E. and A. Schmidt
1997 Phase II Archaeological Investigation for Proposed Improvements to the Chestnut Street-Shepard Road Interchange City of St. Paul Ramsey County, Minnesota.

Justin, M. A.

Ollendorf, A. L. et al.
1998 Archaeological Monitoring, Emergency Salvage Excavations, and Data Recovery Excavations at the Department of Revenue Construction Site, St. Paul, Minnesota.

The 106 Group
1998 Cultural Resources Investigations Preliminary design Phase Harriet Island Regional Park City of Saint Paul, Minnesota.
1998 Interpretive Potential for Harriet Island Regional Park City of Saint Paul.

The 106 Group
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The 106 Group

Halloran, T.
2000  Cultural Resources Review of the Northeast Quadrant Property T29N R22W 1/4 of Section 31, Lots 1-6, Block 6, Lots 1-6, Block 3, Lots 1-10, Block 2, St. Paul, Minnesota.

Halloran, T. and E. J. Abel
2001  Field Report for the Archaeological Evaluation of Site 7 (The Plastics Lot) in Preparation for the Armstrong House Relocation for the Smith Avenue Transit Hub, City of Saint Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.

Terrell, M. M.
2001  Lower Phalen Creek Literature Search for Historical Archaeological Potential, St. Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.

Terrell, M. M.
2002  Cultural Resources Overview for the Upper Landing Park Project, St. Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.

106 Group
2003  Archaeological Monitoring and Data Recovery Plan for the Department of Administration Robert Street Laboratory Building/Lot Y, St. Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.

Terrell, M. M.
2003  Determination of Eligibility of Carver’s Cave (21RA27) and Dayton’s Bluff Cave (21RA28), Bruce Vento Nature Sanctuary Project, St. Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.

Madson, M. J.
2003  Phase IA Archaeological Resources Investigation of the Proposed Robert Street Laboratory Building/Lot Y.
2003  Phase IA Archaeological Resources Investigation of the Proposed Departments of Agriculture and Health Office Building/Lot S, St. Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.
2003  Phase IA Archaeological Resources Investigation of the Proposed DHS Office Building/Lot T, St. Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.

Terrell, M. M. and A. C. Vermeer
2004  Archaeological Monitoring and Phase I/II Archaeological Survey and Evaluation for the Bruce Vento Nature Sanctuary at Lower Phalen Creek, St. Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.

Vermeer, A.
2004  Addendum to Archaeological Monitoring and Phase I/II Survey and Evaluation for the Bruce Vento Nature Sanctuary at Lower Phalen Creek, St. Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.

Bring, J. L.
2004  Archaeological Monitoring of Wetland Construction for the Bruce Vento Nature Sanctuary Project at Lower Phalen Creek, St. Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.

Trocki, P. A. and C. M. Hudak
2005  Geoarchaeological Investigation on Pig’s Eye Peninsula, Saint Paul, Minnesota (T28N R22W, Sections 10, 15, 22) for the Proposed South Saint Paul Forcemain Project.

The 106 Group
2006  Phase II and IIB/III Archaeological Investigation for the Smith Avenue Transit Hub Project, St. Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota. Volume II – Appendices.

Vermeer, A. C.
2007  Archaeological Documentation of the Twin City Rapid Transit Company East Seventh Street Station (21RA55) for the Globe Roofing Project, St. Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.
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Archaeological Reference Materials for Ramsey County
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Hudak, C. M.

Vermeer, A. C. and C. M. Hudak

Schoen, C.
2008 Phase I Archaeological Boring Study for the Loading Dock and Parking Facility, St. Paul Processing & Distribution Center, 108 Kellogg Boulevard East, St. Paul, Minnesota DRAFT.

Justin, M.

O’Brien, M. M.
2011 Literature Review/Archaeological Assessment for the Proposed Bruce Vento Regional Trail Bridge Construction, St. Paul, Minnesota.

Harrison, C.

Sather, D. T. and L. Ollila
2013 Archaeological Monitoring and Visual Reconnaissance for the Lowertown Ballpark Project, Saint Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.
Conclusions for the Lowertown Interpretive Plan are summarized as follows:

**Interest**

1. There is popular interest for access to historical information beyond the basics currently represented on Historic Plaques and signage.

2. There is a wealth of historic material that is difficult to access and located in many varying places. The need to collect and consolidate the historic information related to Lowertown in a single location is a necessary first step.

3. There are many ways to make history available that exist including signage, trails, historic markers or plaques, pamphlets, and books. These are deemed limited in their ability to offer in depth historical coverage.

4. Simple, economical concepts should be emphasized in order to assure that implementation could occur with minimum complications.

**Solutions**

1. This Lowertown Interpretative Plan has generated research resulting in the collection of over 250 articles, books, past planning studies, and historical research documents.

2. This proposal outlines that Ramsey County Historical Society will become the repository for all Lowertown information as a single collection point regarding past and future materials collected.

3. Concept One - Portals, defines a simple way to compare the past with the present through vignettes.

4. Concept Two - QR Code Historic Plaques, defines a system that can be incorporated into existing signage and on existing historical markers and plaques with compatibility and economy; allowing access to a greater depth of information, orchestrated through the Ramsey County Historical Society's Lowertown access site.

5. Concept Three – Street Information Kiosks, modeled after similar information standards at Union Depot, that allow access to information regarding districts, people, events, as well as general public information about the area such public restroom locations.

6. Concept Four - Historic Light Trail, incorporating existing Lowertown Light fixtures with minor modifications in order to create a Historic Light Trail. The trail allows for a self-guided tour of Lowertown areas by moving between highlighted fixtures in tandem with the Street Info Kiosks. Inspiration from the Boston Freedom Trail generated the precedent for this concept.

Ramsey County Historical Society has begun the collection and assembly of materials generated through this study. They will be made available on line through the RCHS web site and under the heading Lowertown.

Concept One – Portals, has been tested with Proto-type. Prototypes for Concept Two and Concept Three will be tested on location in Custom House and Union Depot. Concept Four - Historic Light Trails will undergo additional discussion and testing through the City Public Works Department and the Heritage Preservation Commission.
This meeting was held to introduce the concept behind the Lowertown Interpretive Plan (LIP) grant. Ramsey County Historical Society is conducting the study with the aid of this small museum grant. The following points were made:

1. Boundaries – Generally Lowertown area, but hard borders are not important.
2. Paul Mandel notes that the MN Historical Society has an app for the Capitol Grounds to explain the various monuments. Other MN cities have their own walking plans/guides.
3. It was noted that there is a Lowertown Landing Website.
4. Who is the audience? What language should be used? There are various types of ethnic, age, economic backgrounds etc. in the area.
5. The Ramsey County Historical Society grant for this study is a federal grant.
6. How will the focus groups be formed? Lucy Thompson suggested using the city’s “Open St. Paul” to get the word out, trying to connect with anyone who could be a stakeholder.
7. Suggestion for a pilot walking tour to get input from community to understand wayfinding issues. CRC can help with this – Tabitha Benci DeRango is a CRC Community Engagement person.
8. How to link to Metro Transit apps, so that people can find walking tours.
9. Solicit information from visitors at bars/restaurants – suggestions.
11. Talk to building owners about what they’ve learned over the years. They have stories. They’ve learned how to market the preservation aspects of the area.
12. Building plaques on each building telling history and information. This doesn’t have to be fancy or expensive. Could tell the stories of the people too.
13. Unified narrative of Lowertown, including geological history also. Glacier melting changed the course of the Mississippi, creating the foundation for St. Paul and Lowertown.
14. MN Geologic Society excited about interpretive ideas.
15. National Parks (John Anfinson) excited also about Lowertown Landing Park idea. Don Varney with St. Paul Parks & Rec is working with this.
16. Connecting to the river is an important idea.
17. Veronica Burt working for Lower Phalen Creek Project (LPCP) to do community engagement surrounding Interpretive Center next to Bruce Vento Nature Sanctuary.
   Wakan Tipi looking for input from community on what the Interpretive Center should be.
   Wakan Tipi Center survey has been handed out. Survey on LPCP website also.
   Monday August 14th at 6 pm gathering at Mounds Park and Commercial Street.
18. Metro State has Native American studies program.
19. This is an opportunity to connect.
20. Looking to create a prototype of some sort as an outcome of this study.
21. Looking for additional feedback.
22. This could be a time travel portal – to look into the past.
23. Wacouta Commons could be a welcoming portal. What other portals could there be?
24. What’s here now? What was here before? What was here before that?
25. Lucy asked about what kind of official adoption should there be. Whatever the outcome is, the intention will be to discuss with the city regarding next steps.
26. Incorporate human studies into the narrative.

This summary is part of the permanent record for this project. If there are concerns or discrepancies please notify RRTL within 7 days.
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MEETING MINUTES

PROJECT: Lowertown Interpretive Plan (L.I.P.)
RRTL PROJECT #: 1705.01

TO: Chad Roberts
FROM: Craig Rafferty
SUBJECT: Focus Group Meeting
DATE: August 08, 2018

Weiming Lu: Former head of Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation
Tim Griffin: Former Director of Planning and Design for the Riverfront Corporation
Richard Gilgard: Architect and past active participant in courts facilities at the edge of Lowertown

PRESENT: Craig Rafferty

This meeting was held to explain the LIP Project and to collect thoughts and comments. The following points were made after Craig introduced the purpose of the project.

1. Tim opened by suggesting that there are historic tour apps based on GPS that can be set up for Lowertown. Tour Buddy was suggested.
2. The Lowertown Future Fund was mentioned as a group to connect with as well as the St. Paul Foundation.
3. A recent speech given by Weiming Lu is available on the McKnight Foundation web site.
5. St. Paul’s Lowertown is an open urban planning laboratory. Other such labs include: Portland, Oregon; Richmond, Virginia and Chattanooga, Tennessee.
6. The Riverfront Corporation was independent of the City Planning Office and also from Lowertown Redevelopment Corporation.
7. A key individual is Jim Stolpelsted, particularly with the revival of the Riverwalk concept.
8. The Great River Passage plan is a milestone study during the past 50 years for the river as it passes St. Paul.
9. The Art Crawl is extremely effective and has had great turn outs.
10. The recently published “Makers and Shakers” document is aimed at talking with the artists and cultural leaders of the city.
11. 25% of Lowertown apartments and condos qualify as affordable.
12. Christine Podas Larson should be contacted. The Museum of American Art should be contacted. This museum could host events. Christine MacHolm can be contacted.
13. Artist and organizer Tom Borup is part of an organizing group for the potential World’s Fair in Bloomington.
14. The Healthy Cities Conference is coming in November.
15. San Francisco is an example of Public Art in Market Street.
16. The Franconian Sculpture Park in Franconia, MN is working with St. Paul to show some of its pieces.
17. The State Historic Society has Lowertown Redevelopment Corporations files.
18. Anderson Library at the University has Weiming LU’s personal files.
19. Look for different festivals that would like to partner.

This summary is part of the permanent record for this project. If there are concerns or discrepancies please notify RRTL within 7 days.
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This meeting was held to coordinate goals between the Capitol River Council (CRC) and the the Lowertown Interpretive Plan (LIP). The following points were made:

1. Tabitha is a newly appointed consultant to the CRC, charged with defining and establishing the Council’s Engagement Program. She has a sterling track record for achieving participation and is specifically interested in the LIP study because of its potential to activate the residents of Lowertown. Businesses have a strong voice on the council, but residential input is diminishing.

2. The LIP program is intended to reach out in a short time period in order to establish the foundation for future historic interpretation projects.

3. Input from the artists and residents of Lowertown will be crucial for the process. Tabitha has agreed to orchestrate this participation. This is done because it fits perfectly within her charge to provide a more effective engagement process and it is done with the belief that the artists and residents have meaningful contributions. She believes that one must be on the “street” to learn the stories.

4. After discussing the ways that this could be undertaken, it was agreed that an on-site walking tour asking questions of what important meaningful facts and events should be highlighted. Ask about what should happen with wayfinding.

5. This loose description will be developed with greater detail.

6. In order to activate the residents, sometime will be needed to do so effectively.

7. It was agreed to target the mid-week of September 11th – 14th. Tabitha will pin down the exact date. 12:00 will be tentative time.

8. It was also agreed to have two “walk arounds”. One during the day and one during the evening in order to accommodate the most schedules possible.

9. The discussion regarding ways to define points of interest; ways to define a path through Lowertown; ways to highlight locations where information would be available must become part of the discussion. The “Freedom Trail” in Boston was cited as an example. How can Lowertown create something as effective?
A. Meeting Minutes

10. The premise behind “lighting the Story” was discussed. A specific light or lamppost is a possibility. Combined with a pre-defined phone app and a source scan at each pre-set location is one approach.

11. Tabitha suggested a mock-up of the light stand that would be part of the tour.

12. More information will be developed, but this will be an excellent starting point and the exercise will serve both the CRC and the LIP study purposes.

13. Information must be recorded, photographed, documented and then reported. This is the RPDR approach.

14. The City Master Plan for the lower landing and park should be referenced.

15. The next discussion will happen after Tabitha has had a chance to set the process in motion.

This summary is part of the permanent record for this project. If there are concerns or discrepancies please notify RRTL within 7 days.
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This meeting was held to seek advice from City Leaders who have agreed to act as Technical Advisors. The following points were made after an introduction of the grant and the challenge.

1. Part of the goal for this project is gathering, in one place, materials that have already been prepared.
2. The project is not limited to Lowertown, but that is the focus.
3. The process needs to tie into the neighborhoods eventually.
4. Strategic plans/master plans don’t identify how to go about seeking funding for a history project.
5. This project is intended as a document to reference or use as a guideline for future projects.
6. Need to focus on the Union Depot in order to think of ways to activate the building.
7. A plaque for the Custom House was discussed with the potential for adding a QR code for historic information.
8. Should review Lowertown for all area plans.
9. Should review the Lowertown Master Plan.
10. It is hard to get new input in 6 weeks, keep the scope simple and straightforward.
11. The evaluation of Lowertown is an exciting story.
   - A place for the past and future stories.
   - What are the stories that are to be told?
   - What are the challenges? This is a living history opportunity.
   - Bruce Vento Nature Center
   - Wakan Tipi Center
   - There have been numerous books written about Lowertown.
   - Want to get to relevancy for today.
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- Over time what has happened?
- What is the history that is being made today?

12. The project was categorized as an ambitious undertaking. It was cautioned not to become too complicated or filled with concepts that cannot work without major time commitments.

13. Tell the Cyber Village story.

14. This project is intended as a scoping document; it will suggest how to approach historical projects filling in missing pieces of Lowertown at later dates.

15. The story of Farmers Market is another worthy subject.

16. There isn’t a goal to seek city approval nor a requirement.

17. Should check with the HPC who is defining a new, updated set of design guidelines for Lowertown.

18. Want to find a couple of recommended programs – need a good solution.

19. Can a QR code be added to existing building plaques? This would allow building owners to add their own stories.

20. Should review Chicago’s Wrigley Field kiosk.

21. The “Cloud Mirror” in Chicago’s Milleniall Park has thousands of posts each day.
   - Renswick Gallery went out of its way to creating spaces for selfies, which in turn has created a significant increase in participation.

22. Creating experiences that share interest.

23. Larry Millett’s “Brick” book is a wealth of information that should have a connection.

24. The results of this study must be actionable.

25. As a basic courtesy, the results will be shared with the City Council.

26. Heritage Trail in Minneapolis along both sides of the river has a very successful series of historical plaques.

27. Landmark Center has walking tour, which is scheduled.

28. The downtown Ambassadors program in St. Paul through the CRC, is just slowly beginning.

29. Historic maps could be a future challenge, but there are quite a few available now.

30. The map in the park near WA Frost is very effective.

31. As information is compiled it should be accessible at Landmark Center or the Depot.

32. The bibliography for information is the real goal.

33. The 300 residents in Custom House are hungry for historical information.
   - A Lowertown History Wiki should be established.

34. It was suggested that a Wikipedia page be generated as a place to tell stories.
   - The current Wikipedia page should be expanded.

35. Tabitha Benci DeRango, the new Engagement Program Manager for the CRC is planning to conduct 2 “walk abouts” in September in order to solicit thoughts and comments from the artists and residents of Lowertown.
36. Additional suggested Technical Advisor Group members could include:
   - Larry Millett
   - HPC Leadership
   - Jane Lewis, Visitor St. Paul
   - Terry Mattson, Visitor St. Paul

37. Suggestions for moving forward include defining the resulting steps after the Sept. 30th deadline. Making sure that there are ideas, easily implemented, that can jump start the process.

38. A menu of choices was discussed. Projects that can be phased and appropriate in scale.

39. Additional meetings will be scheduled for the 1st week of September and also the end of September.
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MEETING MINUTES

PROJECT: Lowertown Interpretive Plan (L.I.P.)
RRTL PROJECT #: 1705.01
TO: Chad Roberts
FROM: Chip Lindeke / Craig Rafferty
SUBJECT: Lowertown Interpretive Plan Focus Group Tours
DATE: September 14, 2018
PRESENT: Tabitha Benci Durango, CRC; members of the community; Craig Rafferty (RRTL Architects)

Notes from 10 AM resident focus group tour.

Basic introduction to the Lowertown Interpretive Plan project’s goals were discussed. Questions on how information should be made available? What stories are you interested in hearing or telling? What is the heart of Lowertown? Mock ups of potential informational systems using QR code technology were introduced as initial ideas based on simplicity and potential for implementation.

Instructions to group were to have the tour route dictated by their preferences. See routes selected at the end of summary. The Morning Group directed a path to the Farmers Market, to the Union Depot, to Mears Park, and then to the Wacouta Commons park.

The following comments were made:

1. The Lowertown Preservation District is extremely interesting but walking around is a problem in that there are so many bleak areas in order to get to the interesting areas. Examples: getting to the river or the Bruce Vento Park.
2. There should be better connections thru out the neighborhood.
3. Many people don’t have smart phones or even carry a phone. Others still rely on printed material and avoid the computer. This is changing but during the interim relying solely with on line information can be problematic when access is not available. Printed material should also be available as well as other non-computer related methods. Information should be available in several forms.
4. The best tour on line is so far is the AIA Minnesota information for St. Paul.

RAFFERTY RAFFERTY TOLLEFSON LINDEKE ARCHITECTS
220 EAST 7TH STREET SAINT PAUL, MINNESOTA 55101-2340
TEL: 651 224-4831 FAX: 651 224-0244 www.rrtlarchitects.com
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5. Among the most interesting buildings is the James J Hill warehouse and the story behind his career and success.
6. The Historical Society walking tours take 2 hours and are interesting.
7. The Baptist Hill story would be interesting to learn more.
8. In Paris there are several walking tours that one can get information that cover different interests, this should be considered here. Booklets could be coordinated for these variations.
9. Information available to residents as well as visitors should be broader than simply historic information. It should:
   a. List restaurants and price range
   b. Available public rest rooms.
   c. Other simple information is also important such as where do you get a can opener in the city.
   d. There should be a city wide coordinated basic introduction to St Paul.
10. The Lowertown Art crawl is a popular event that draws many people. A connection with the Minnesota Museum of American Art should be made.
11. Can hotels be a partner in making information available?
12. QR codes will go out of date eventually.
13. Basic services information such as restrooms was brought up again, underscoring the serious lack of such facilities for the public.
14. The hub or center of Lowertown activities is the Farmers Market, Black Dog, and Mears Park.
15. The Farmers Market is seriously lacking in identifying signage.
16. The days when the Farmers Market is open are the most exciting days in Lowertown, although the Saints baseball games are becoming equally as popular.
17. What are paths through the area between key points? 4th street is safer now because of the train which has discouraged cars.
18. Crossing traffic at Jackson where there are the cars, bike paths, and trains is a difficult.
19. The new bike paths are welcome and exciting to have but they have already been spray painted by the city for construction gas line locations. Spray markings like this never seem to be removed long after the construction is completed.
20. The story of the Master Framers Building fire and the buildings that were eventually added to the vacant lots from the fire should be told. (262, 270 and the new construction on 4th street).
21. The Jax Building is a story of successful connection with artists for many years and the gradual elimination of them thru pricing increases. It is now an empty building because of this uprooting of the resident artists.
22. Parking is always a concern and as the vacant blocks are filled in more available parking is lost.
23. The Union Depot and the Light Rail are adding immeasurably to the neighborhood. Although the Depot is mostly empty except for infrequent events.
24. The saving of the Depot and its nomination to the National Register of Historic places in the early 1980’s is a story that should be told.
25. The Depot was much more effective as a place to gather when Christo’s restaurant was there, as well as when LeeAnn Chin’s restaurant was there.
26. The Depot plaza is a fairly active space during the Jazz Festival and other events.
27. The Depot contains the Guinness Book of records largest Light Brite display a worthy story.
28. Trash on the sidewalks is discouraging to the group.
29. The Alleys were discussed but deemed unsafe and filled with trash at least as they exist now.
30. Mears park community gardens and the community sense of ownership that they generate is a story.
31. The Music in the park story should be told. It could also include similar activities in Rice Park, in Kellogg Park, and Pedros Park.
32. This park is a destination for many. Many dog owners rely on the park. This has been very successful but is it becoming over crowded?
33. The path around Como Lake is a favorite as it seems safe, green, and interesting. Such a path around Lowertown will be hard to capture the safety at the river, at Bruce Vento Park, etc.
34. What can be done with the artists? Are there ways to incorporate their art work?
35. In Massachusetts there are areas were artists design the decorative tables in a park on Cape Cod.
36. Discussion regarding the river was brought up to see its response from the group. Access to the river was considered unacceptable and a barrier.
37. The winter trails staring on the deck of the depot were of interest to some. St Paul Vibrancy is working on similar issues of winter enhancement.
38. There are only three effective winter space indoor spaces in the city: The Union Depot, Landmark Center, and the River center.
39. Skyways are lifesavers in the city in the winter. Hours need to be extended and safety needs to be constantly monitored. They should find ways to be activated.
40. The lack of an inviting entry to Galtier Plaza will continue to assure that it is mostly empty.
41. Designated alleys in a designated San Francisco area are allowed to have artists paint murals etc. This has become very popular and should be considered within the Lowertown Alleys.
42. The Historic building wall signs are really interesting but are also disappearing.
43. The Wacouta Commons Park has a beautiful park, play area for children, and an area for dogs. Soccer is played there by many of the kids who come from countries where soccer is the primary sport. The First Baptist Church is a welcoming gesture from the north side of Lowertown as is the Tower at St Mary’s Church. Cars drive past these and also past the Wacouta Commons which is a very nice green space. This is a welcoming entry. It is in stark contrast to the entry from the south along the river.
44. The stories of a train ride from Rochester and the impressive rooms of the Union Depot are important. The space filled with people, sounds, and activities were memorable. How can this sensibility be replicated. Can recordings of that era in a train depot be imported as background?
45. Can holographic projection recreate the sense of an active train concourse?
46. This walk around tour ended at First Baptist Church on the North side?
Notes from 6 PM resident focus group tour:

Basic introduction to the Lowertown Interpretive Plan project’s goals were discussed. Questions on how information should be made available? What stories are you interested in hearing or telling? What is the heart of Lowertown? Mock ups of potential informational systems using QR code technology were introduced as initial ideas based on simplicity and potential for implementation.

Instructions to group were to have the tour route dictated by their preferences. The Evening route is demonstrated at the end of the AM tour summary. They directed a path to the Farmers Market, then through 2 alleys, then to the River, back through the Union Depot, to Mears Park, and then to the new Hygga restaurant across from the Depot.

The following comments were made:

1. The Farmers Market is the heart of Lowertown. Mears Park is also almost equally important as far as the residents are concerned.
2. The Green line train is the reason that many have located in St Paul and Lowertown.
3. Everyone is happy and active on market days. Is there a way to extend the atmosphere of the market to more days in Lowertown? It is not a daily event but it has such a positive effect on the city. What would it take to have more year round activity?
4. Signage is really inadequate at the market.
5. The Union Depot is an underutilized asset. What can be done to create a stronger connection between the Depot, the Farmers Market, and Mears Park?
6. The alleys were the next stop and the charm of the alleys from the market past Golden’s Deli and the Jax Building were described as very interesting for potential pedestrian movement. There is an ongoing arts project called Alley Up that intends to introduce art into the alleys as way to begin to open their potential.
7. The Seattle Bubble Gum Row, where sticking gum on the wall has become an art form, drawing visitors is another example of alley ways taking on a different persona.
8. The alley murals in San Francisco district were cited again this evening similar to the morning discussion.
9. The lack of activities or shops in Galtier that would make it a draw was discussed. Options such as opening up for winter farmers markets, recreating the original entry, using the movie theater, etc. where suggested. Is Galtier an opportunity for a downtown high school?
10. Cray Corporation’s recent decision to move was predictable. Thought is needed to be given to a more successful draw for the region.
11. The Downtown Vitality Vision concept is being nurtured currently.
12. Music in the park is a huge draw and the design of the Mears Park is part of the reason it is successful.
13. The story behind the design of Mears Park is worth explaining, as should the events, the community gardens, and these should become part of the overall story of the Park that has had a couple of names including, Baptist Hill and Smith park.
14. Public Art installations should include links to events and the stories behind the art work.
15. Probably the most necessary information for the visitor would be a description of were Public restrooms are located, what are the price ranges of restaurants and where are they located, and what is happening in town on that day and the next day. The public restroom situation is almost impossible.
16. Could the Public PA system in Mears Park be part of a city wide information system?
17. The River should be emphasized and connections to it enhanced.
18. The recent temporary art installation of Lowertown people throughout the area was extremely successful and brought the district to life.
19. Access to and from skyways to the streets are not as clearly defined or available.
20. The Robert Street Bridge is beautiful but in need of repair.
21. Welcoming signage would be welcome.
22. The landing at the river should have a reason to go to it and a route that is safe. What are things that can be done to make the landing interesting? What can be done to activate the river and the waterway?
23. What is the story behind the Lower Landing?
24. Views to the river are mostly from the Union Depot, but a connection is very needed in order to pass over the railroad tracks and Shepard road in order to get to the river.
25. Weddings, ethnic, and cultural events are now constantly part of Navy Island.
26. More economical restaurants are needed.
27. The light rail at the depot plaza is a huge boost to the region and the reason the housing in Lowertown is filling rapidly and the residential numbers are increasing rapidly.
28. Action groups include COWE (the Collaborative Working Environment) and the St Paul innovation Summit.
29. Hygga is a new restaurant opened by Bruce Schneider.
30. Content films have been recorded for many years and can be added to the information that is made available if the QR concept or any of the options to connect people with information.
31. Whatever solutions result from the Lowertown Interpretive Plan study, they should serve for multiple purposes for example:
   a. Artist and Business and shops
   b. Visitor and resident
   c. Market, business, arts, city wide events
32. An art park would be exciting as would an arts tour.
33. There are companies that can prepare the information that would go into the QR codes. Information can be updated without changing the code so having it cast as part of a plaque is a very reason approach that can be accomplished with relatively little difficulty.
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The progress to date was reviewed including introduction to the research materials as well as potential solutions related to the lighting the way concepts. The following points were made:

1. Clarity for how the reference material will be accessed was stressed, in order that it would be easily available.
2. Caution was stressed that the QR code technology is not always the best technology. It has not been that successful. It will most likely it will not be around long before it is replaced.
3. It would be better to have a text option that would bring you to the preferred web site, or a direct URL connection. Blue Tooth as an option was also suggested.
4. Caution should be exercised regarding the addition of more street side clutter.
5. The discussion sidetracked regarding available App technology. With Bluetooth in the on position as you enter some Starbucks a “ping” will sound bringing you available information regarding that location.
6. RCHS agrees that it should explore apps after the deadline for this interpretation study is completed. Also to be explored is how the collected information can be stored and accessed at RCHS. Such data would need management and the ability to be warehoused and updated frequently. It will eventually be close to a full time job to keep things updated and organized properly. Currently and in the future it may be “low tech” that is the most appropriate for ease of access and updates.
7. A question was raised regarding outdated material suggesting that the list presented be edited to eliminate such information in order to keep the most current material. It was pointed out that this
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is a historical repository for material and information and should not be edited for current or dated material or information.

8. Eventually connections with Public Art St Paul, SPAC, Lowertown Arts and other groups should be included.
9. What have other cities done in order to collect and make available historical information?
10. What are other Main Street stories that could serve as examples.
11. What is the history behind the Lowertown Light fixtures?
12. The alleys continue to be a source of genuine interest. The alleys are high on the list of priorities for the city to address.
13. Printed material in addition to web accessed material should be made available after it has been organized.
14. The Lowertown Walking Tour prepared by the City almost 25 years ago is still being used but could be updated with the connections that are being proposed by this study.
15. It was stressed that a clear, simple explanation of the goals behind this study should be part of the report.
   a. What is the driving intent behind the funding?
   b. What are the organizing principals?
   c. What are the core reasons?
   d. How will new projects fit?
   e. What are guiding principles?
   f. What problem is being resolved?
   g. What is the big picture?

16. Guiding principles must be cautiously stated in order to keep options open for the future.
17. One of the reasons to undertake this challenge is to help people understand how they can contribute?
18. A story that would be interesting for some would be a description of what exists beneath the streets of the city.
19. Themes that stretch within and beyond the boundaries of Lowertown should be incorporated like open spaces, bike trails, and garden spaces.
20. There are no gateways from the river. In the 30's the union Depot was a gateway.
21. The River Landing is targeted for significant change. Discussions have been on going to create river cruises with St Paul as the beginning and / or the terminus for such cruises. The process has been bogged down lately with permits and other requirements.
22. Visit St Paul is a key. When it included, on its web site, a photo of the two river paddle boats docked in Lowertown, it was their most popular posting.
23. The creation of a Lowertown Entertainment district has been a goal for the city and the community.
24. St Paul was in the past and should be the highest northern navigable point on the Mississippi. The Great River Passage study talked about extending the barge traffic further but this may not make sense due to the expense of continuing to dredge to keep channel open.
25. Rice Park has Landmark Center as its focal point and information is available for the area in its lobby. Is the Depot the focal point for Lowertown? The Depot does have an information kiosk and it could become a city Visitor Center but it isn't at the moment. It should be part of the long range discussion.
26. How should information be accessed in Lowertown?
27. The 2nd train connection to Chicago will be important and is being negotiated at this time. Currently the one train leaves early in the morning and returns late at night without many people around. A Visitor Center would not be open at those times.

28. Visit St. Paul is supportive of the Union Depot as the city visitor center and the Union Depot is interested in this as well.

29. Where does one by a cheap souvenir of the city?

30. Guest services should be front and center.

31. Gordon’s and Signals restaurant were the key elements in 1983 when the depot reopened, this would make an interesting story.

32. Lowertown is a residential artist community; this should be a story in itself regarding how to keep the activity of the artists from being driven away. The Jax building is a disappointing example. Lowertown Lofts residents should have their stories told. What can be done to maintain the artistic emphasis of the community?

33. History is a living thing and the stories and voices of the past and the future should be captured.

34. “Humans of Lowertown” is a Facebook post that is a spoof on a similar posting from New York City about gentrification of city areas.

There will be one additional follow-up meeting next week as the grant study is pulled together.
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